DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

PP-28



Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

		CHENCY OF THE SOCKETORY
In the Matter of)	
Amendment of Section 2.106 of the Commission's Rules to Allocate))	ET Docket No. 95-18 RM-7927

REPLY COMMENTS OF CALIFORNIA OREGON BROADCASTING, INC.

Spectrum at 2 GHz for Use by the

Mobile-Satellite Service

California Oregon Broadcasting, Inc. ("COBi"), by counsel and pursuant to Section 1.415 of the Rules, hereby submits its reply to the comments submitted in response to the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("Further Notice"), released in the above-captioned proceeding on March 14, 1997.1

In general, the comments of other broadcast and fixedservice licensees reflect COBi's concern that any rules adopted
by the Commission pursuant to the <u>Further Notice</u> should preserve
the ability of such licensees to maintain the important services
they already provide with the least disruption or hardship to
themselves or those who rely on them. In particular, COBi
supports those parties who insist upon full reimbursement of
relocation costs by the superseding Mobile Satellite Service

No. of Copies rec'd

Amendment of Section 2.106 of the Commission's Rules to Allocate Spectrum at 2 GHz for Use by the Mobile Satellite Service, ET Docket 95-18, FCC No. 97-93 (March 14, 1997) ("Further Notice").

("MSS") licensees, as well as those who oppose the sunsetting of such obligation.²

However, several parties propose that BAS licensees be forced to pay the cost of relocation of facilities licensed to them in the 2 GHz band after a date certain.³ These parties maintain that such a requirement will ensure an efficient and cost-effective transition process by discouraging any new BAS use of the band. They also argue that such a proposal is equitable since BAS licensees are now on notice of the pending reallocation of the band to MSS.

COBi strongly opposes the imposition of a requirement that BAS licensees assume some of the cost of relocation of their facilities based on the date such facilities are requested or licensed. As described in its initial comments, COBi relies heavily on a system of fixed-link BAS relays in the 2 GHz band to transmit signals over extensive mountainous terrain. The demands placed on this system are evolving constantly due to such short and long term forces as severe weather conditions,

See, e.g., Comments of West Central Illinois Educational Telecommunications Corporation at 2-3; Comments of American Petroleum Institute at 9.

See Further Comments of the MSS Coalition at 16 (all new BAS licenses); Comments of BellSouth Corporation at 5 (all BAS applications filed after January 30, 1995); Comments of TRW, Inc. at 6 (all BAS licenses issued after March 14, 1997).

 $[\]frac{\text{See}}{2}$ Comments of California Oregon Broadcasting, Inc., at 1-

competitive changes demanding immediate response, immediate deadlines imposed by cable operators receiving program feeds and overall market and population growth. As a result, COBi must modify its system from time to time, even, on occasion, to the extent of adding new relay locations and facilities. Such requirements arise and will continue to arise regardless of COBi's knowledge of the impending 2 GHz reallocation. Thus, the notice argument put forth by the commenters is irrelevant.

The proposal to make BAS licensees responsible for the cost of all new 2 GHz facilities would have the effect of punishing COBi for its efforts to keep up with the demands placed on its system.⁵ In effect, COBi would be forced to pay double the price to maintain its public service obligations - both the (reasonable) cost of acquiring and establishing new facilities, and the (unreasonable) cost of relocating or replacing them at such time as COBi must vacate the 2 GHz spectrum given over to MSS use.⁶ Such a public-service penalty clearly runs counter to the Commission's stated policy that MSS licensees must fully

COBi can only assume that the parties making this proposal do not expect broadcast licensees to curtail all BAS expansion prior to reallocation of the spectrum. Competitive forces will not allow COBi or other broadcasters to stand by idly while waiting for resolution of the issues related thereto.

Alternately, COBi could apply for new facilities in another band. However, this would require the conversion of the entire network to which such facilities were linked in order to maintain operation of the system, thus entailing even greater costs to COBi.

compensate those who must vacate the 2 GHz band for their benefit. 7 Those parties advocating the BAS relocation payment plan described herein have presented no compelling reason for the Commission to abandon its initial position and adopt such a requirement.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated herein, the Commission should reject the calls of those parties who seek to require BAS licensees to pay for the relocation or replacement of facilities acquired during or after this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

CALIFORNIA OREGON BROADCASTING, INC.

Marnie K. Sarver, Esq.

Robert L. Galbreath, Esq.

REED SMITH SHAW & McCLAY

1301 K Street, N.W. East Tower, Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 414-9200

Its Attorneys

July 23, 1997

See Further Notice at ¶70.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lula M. Parker, a secretary with the law firm of Reed Smith Shaw & McClay LLP, hereby certify that I have this 23rd day of July, 1997, caused a copy of the foregoing "Reply Comments of California Oregon Broadcasting, Inc.," to be delivered via First Class Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Cheryl A. Tritt Sheryl J. Lincoln Morrison & Foerster LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Suite 5500 Washington, D.C. 20006

Counsel for ICO Global Communications

Philip V. Permut Edward A. Yorkgitis, Jr. Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Counsel for Comsat Corporation

Lon C. Levin
Vice President and Regulatory Counsel
Personal Communications
Satellite Corporation
10802 Parkridge Boulevard
Reston, VA 22091

Antoinette Cook Bush Brian Weimer Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Floam LLP 1440 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Counsel for Celsat America, Inc.

Gary M. Epstein John P. Janka Michael S. Wroblewski Latham & Watkins 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Suite 1300 Washington, D.C. 20024

Counsel for Hughes Space and Communications International

David G. Frolio David G. Richards 1133 21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Counsel for BellSouth Corporation

Wayne V. Black John Reardon Keller and Heckman LLP 1001 G Street, N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001

Counsel for the American Petroleum Institute

Jeffrey L. Sheldon Sean A. Stokes UTC 1140 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 1140 Washington, D.C. 20036 Jonathan D. Blake Ellen P. Goodman Donna M. Epps Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20044

Counsel for the Association for Maximum Television Service, Inc.

Henry L. Baumann Barry D. Umansky Kelly Williams National Association of Broadcasters 1771 N. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Peter D. O'Connell Kathleen A. Kirby Reed Smith Shaw & McClay LLP 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 1100 - East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005

> Counsel for Radio-Television News Directors Association

Robert M. Gurss Rudolph J. Geist Wilkes Artis Hedrick & Lane, Chartered 1666 K Street, N.W., #1100 Washington, D.C. 20006

Counsel for Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials-International, Inc.

A. Thomas Carroccio Donald E. Santarelli Bell Boyd & Lloyd Suite 1200 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

> Counsel for Burlington Northern Santa Fe Norfolk Souther Corporation

Thomas J. Keller
Verner Liipfert Bernhard McPherson
and Hand, Chartered
901 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005

Counsel for Affiliated American Railroads

Glenn S. Rabin ALLTEL Services Corporation, Inc. 655 15th Street, N.W. Suite 220 Washington, D.C. 20005